

SOME ARGUMENTS FOR THE WAVE EQUATION IN QUANTUM THEORY 10

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. This paper continues the work in [6], in which it was proved that given (ρ, \bar{J}) satisfying the relations;

$$(i) \quad \square^2(\rho) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \square^2(\bar{J}) = \bar{0}$$

$$(iii) \quad \nabla(\rho) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

with compact support, the fields (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) defined by Jefimenko's equations *exist*. We prove here that the fields (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) are quasi split normal, so that the methods of [2] apply.

Lemma 0.1. *For charge and current (ρ, \bar{J}) , the relations;*

$$(i) \quad \square^2(\rho) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \square^2(\bar{J}) = \bar{0}$$

$$(iii) \quad \nabla(\rho) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial t} = \bar{0}$$

$$(iv) \quad \nabla \times \bar{J} = \bar{0}$$

are invariant under transformations of the base frame by a velocity vector \bar{v} , with $|\bar{v}| < c$.

Proof. The proof that (i), (ii), (iv) hold for the transformed quantities (ρ', \bar{J}') is done in [4]. We check that (iii) holds for the standard boost $v\bar{e}_1$, with $0 < v < c$. We have that;

$$\rho' = \gamma_v \left(\rho - \frac{vj_1}{c^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{J}' &= \gamma_v(\bar{J}_{\parallel} - \rho v \bar{e}_1) + \bar{J}_{\perp} \\
&= (\gamma_v(j_1 - \rho v), j_2, j_3) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} &= \gamma_v\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \\
\nabla' &= \gamma_v\left(\nabla_{\parallel} + \frac{v}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) + \nabla_{\perp} \\
&= \left(\gamma_v\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla'(\rho') &= \left(\gamma_v\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \left(\gamma_v\left(p - \frac{vj_1}{c^2}\right)\right) \\
&= \left(\gamma_v^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\gamma_v^2 v^2}{c^4} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial y}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial z}\right) \quad (A)
\end{aligned}$$

while using (iii)

$$\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial t}\right)$$

and using (iv)

$$\frac{\partial j_3}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial z}, \quad \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial z}, \quad \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial y}$$

so that substituting into (A), we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla'(\rho') &= \left(-\frac{\gamma_v^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\gamma_v^2 v^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}, -\frac{\gamma_v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial x}, -\frac{\gamma_v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial t} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial x}\right) \quad (B)
\end{aligned}$$

and;

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{J}'}{\partial t'} &= \frac{1}{c^2} \gamma_v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) (\gamma_v(j_1 - \rho v), j_2, j_3) \\
&= \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\gamma_v^2 \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t} + \gamma_v^2 v \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} - \gamma_v^2 v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \gamma_v^2 v^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial t} + \gamma_v v \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial x}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial t} + \gamma_v v \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial x}\right) \\
&\quad (C)
\end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\nabla'(\rho') + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \bar{J}'}{\partial t'} = \bar{0}$$

as required. □

Lemma 0.2. *Consistency*

For any initial conditions $\{\rho_0, \dot{\rho}_0\}$, smooth and having compact support in \mathcal{R}^3 , there exist (ρ, \bar{J}) on \mathcal{R}^4 satisfying the conditions of Lemma 0.1 and the continuity equation, extending $\{\rho_0, \dot{\rho}_0\}$, with compact support as a process.

Proof. Most of the proof can be found in [5]. The initial conditions generate a unique process ρ on \mathcal{R}^4 , satisfying (i) with compact support, such that $\square^2(\rho) = 0$, (i) of Lemma 0.1. Define \bar{J} by;

$$\bar{J}(\bar{x}, t) = -c^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \nabla(\rho) ds$$

As shown in [5], \bar{J} is well defined and has compact support as a process. By the FTC, (iii) of Lemma 0.1 holds. We have that, differentiating under the integral sign;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \bar{J} &= -c^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \nabla \times (\nabla(\rho)) ds \\ &= \bar{0} \end{aligned}$$

so (iv) of Lemma 0.1 holds. For the continuity equation, we have that, using (i) of Lemma 0.1 and the FTC;

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \bar{J} &= -c^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \nabla \cdot \nabla(\rho) ds \\ &= -c^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \nabla^2(\rho) ds \\ &= -c^2 \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{\rho_{ss}}{c^2} ds \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^t \rho_{ss} ds \\ &= -\rho_t \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have that, by (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 0.1;

$$\nabla^2(\bar{J}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{J}) - \nabla \times (\nabla \times \bar{J})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{J}) \\
&= -\nabla(\rho_t) \\
&= (-\nabla(\rho))_t \\
&= \frac{\bar{J}_{tt}}{c^2}
\end{aligned}$$

so that (ii) of Lemma 0.1 holds. □

Lemma 0.3. *Symmetry Lemma*

For charge and current (ρ, \bar{J}) on \mathcal{R}^4 , satisfying the conditions of Lemma 0.1 and the continuity equation, if we define the processes;

(ρ_1, \bar{J}_1) and (ρ_2, \bar{J}_2) by;

$$\rho_1(\bar{x}, t) = \rho(\bar{x}, t) + \rho(\bar{x}, -t), (\bar{x}, t) \in \mathcal{R}^4$$

$$\bar{J}_1(\bar{x}, t) = \bar{J}(\bar{x}, t) - \bar{J}(\bar{x}, -t), (\bar{x}, t) \in \mathcal{R}^4$$

$$\rho_2(\bar{x}, t) = \rho(\bar{x}, t) - \rho(\bar{x}, -t), (\bar{x}, t) \in \mathcal{R}^4$$

$$\bar{J}_2(\bar{x}, t) = \bar{J}(\bar{x}, t) + \bar{J}(\bar{x}, -t), (\bar{x}, t) \in \mathcal{R}^4$$

Then the relations of Lemma 0.1 are still satisfied for the two processes, together with the continuity equation. Moreover, we have that the initial conditions have the property that;

$$\dot{\rho}_{1,0} = 0, \bar{J}_{1,0} = \bar{0}, \rho_{2,0} = 0, \dot{\bar{J}}_{1,0} = \bar{0}$$

and we can recover the original process as $\rho = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2}$, $\bar{J} = \frac{\bar{J}_1 + \bar{J}_2}{2}$.

If we can prove the fields (\bar{E}_1, \bar{B}_1) and (\bar{E}_2, \bar{B}_2) , obtained from Jefimenko's equations exist, and are smooth of very moderate decrease, then so are the fields (\bar{E}, \bar{B}) obtained from Jefimenko's equations.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, noting the sign reversal in the time derivative for $-t$. □

Lemma 0.4. *Addendum to Uniqueness of Representation of Arcs Lemma*

Given $\bar{a} \in B(\bar{0}, s)$, with $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$, there exists, up to a set Bl of measure zero in $B(\bar{0}, s)$, a unique $\bar{v} \in V = \bigcup_{d \in B(\bar{0}, s)} H_d$, such that $B(\bar{v}, |\bar{v} - \bar{r}|)$ passes through \bar{a} , with $B(\bar{0}, |\bar{a}|)$ and $B(\bar{v}, |\bar{v} - \bar{r}|)$ sharing a common tangent plane at \bar{a} . It follows that we can define a map $\gamma : B(\bar{0}, s) \setminus Bl \rightarrow V \setminus H_{\bar{0}}$ which is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, given a generic $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$, the line $l_{0, \bar{a}}$ intersects the hyperplane $H_{\bar{a}}$ in a unique point \bar{v} , unless $l_{0, \bar{a}}$ and $H_{\bar{a}}$ are parallel, in which case $\bar{a} \cdot (\bar{r} - \bar{a}) = 0$. Letting $\bar{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$, this locus is defined by;

$$a_1 r_1 + a_2 r_2 + a_3 r_3 - (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2) = 0$$

$$\text{iff } a_1^2 - a_1 r_1 + a_2^2 - a_2 r_2 + a_3^2 - a_3 r_3 = 0$$

$$\text{iff } (a_1 - \frac{r_1}{2})^2 + (a_2 - \frac{r_2}{2})^2 + (a_3 - \frac{r_3}{2})^2 = \frac{r_1^2}{4} + \frac{r_2^2}{4} + \frac{r_3^2}{4}$$

which is a sphere centred at $\frac{\bar{r}}{2}$, with radius $\frac{|\bar{r}|}{2}$. Clearly, the intersection of this sphere with $B(\bar{0}, s)$, Bl , is a set of measure zero in $B(\bar{0}, s)$.

For $\bar{y} \in B(\bar{0}, s)$, with $|\bar{y}| = w$, $0 < w \leq s$, we have that, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$;

$$|\lambda \bar{y} - \bar{y}| = |\lambda \bar{y} - \bar{r}|$$

$$\text{iff } w|\lambda - 1| = |\lambda \bar{y} - \bar{r}|$$

$$\text{iff } w^2(\lambda - 1)^2 = (\lambda y_1 - r_1)^2 + (\lambda y_2 - r_2)^2 + (\lambda y_3 - r_3)^2$$

$$\text{iff } \lambda^2 w^2 - 2\lambda w^2 + w^2 = \lambda^2 w^2 - 2\lambda \bar{y} \cdot \bar{r} + |\bar{r}|^2$$

$$\text{iff } \lambda(-2w^2 + 2\bar{y} \cdot \bar{r}) = |\bar{r}|^2 - w^2$$

$$\text{iff } \lambda = \frac{|\bar{r}|^2 - w^2}{-2w^2 + 2\bar{y} \cdot \bar{r}}$$

The exceptional locus $Bl \cap \delta B(\bar{0}, w)$ corresponds to the locus;

$$2\bar{y} \cdot \bar{r} - 2w^2 = 0$$

$$\text{iff } \bar{y} \cdot \bar{r} = w^2$$

which is a plane intersecting the sphere $\delta B(\bar{0}, w)$ in a circle C_w . We define the map γ , for $\bar{y} \in B(\bar{0}, s) \setminus Bl$ by;

$$\gamma(\bar{y}) = \frac{|\bar{r}|^2 - |\bar{y}|^2}{-2|\bar{y}|^2 + 2\bar{y} \cdot \bar{r}} \bar{y}$$

The fact that γ is bijective and onto $V \setminus H_0$ follows from the original uniqueness of representation of arcs lemma in [6], noting that we excluded the case that an arc passed through the origin $\bar{0} \in B(\bar{0}, s)$. The above argument allows us to define the map γ , which is continuous with a continuous inverse. □

Lemma 0.5. *Let the potential V satisfy;*

$$\square^2(V) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} (*)$$

with initial conditions $V_{0,1}$ satisfying $\nabla^2(V_{0,1}) = -\frac{\rho_0}{\epsilon_0}$ and $V_{0,2} = 0$, be given as in [4]. Then V is smooth on \mathcal{R}^4 .

Proof. By the construction of V , see [4], using the fact that $V_{0,1}$ is smooth, differentiating under the integral sign, V is smooth for (\bar{x}, t) with $t \neq 0$. To check smoothness at $t = 0$, we follow the method of [5]. By the proof in [4], V_{01} and V_t are of very moderate decrease and quasi split normal, for $t \neq 0$. By the main result of [3], we can take Fourier transforms of $(*)$, for $k_1 \neq 0$, $k_2 \neq 0$, $k_3 \neq 0$, to obtain;

$$\mathcal{F}(V)_{tt} + c^2 k^2 \mathcal{F}(V) = \frac{c^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho)}{\epsilon_0}$$

and using the fact that $\square^2(\rho) = 0$, we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(V)_{tt} + c^2 k^2 \mathcal{F}(V) &= \frac{c^2 \mathcal{F}(\rho)}{\epsilon_0} \\ &= \frac{c^2 \rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{ikct} + \frac{c^2 \rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{-ikct} \quad (A) \end{aligned}$$

We can solve this second order forced ODE using Lagrange's variation of parameters, see [1]. The particular solutions to the homogeneous equation are given by;

$$y_1(t) = e^{ikct} \quad \text{and} \quad y_2(t) = e^{-ikct}$$

so that;

$$\begin{aligned} W(y_1, y_2) &= y_1 y_2' - y_1' y_2 \\ &= -ikc e^{ikct} e^{-ikct} - ikc t e^{ikct} e^{-ikct} \\ &= -2ikc \end{aligned}$$

The forcing term g is given by;

$$\frac{c^2 \rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{ikct} + \frac{c^2 \rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{-ikct}$$

so that a particular solution of (A), is given by;

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= -y_1(t) \int \frac{y_2(t)g(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)(t)} dt + y_2(t) \int \frac{y_1(t)g(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)(t)} dt \\ &= -c^2 e^{ikct} \int \frac{e^{-ikct} [\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{ikct} + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{-ikct}]}{-2ikc} dt + c^2 e^{-ikct} \int \frac{e^{ikct} [\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{ikct} + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{-ikct}]}{-2ikc} dt \\ &= -c^2 e^{ikct} \int \frac{[\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{-2ikct}]}{-2ikc} dt + c^2 e^{-ikct} \int \frac{[\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) e^{2ikct} + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k})]}{-2ikc} dt \\ &= -c^2 e^{ikct} \left(\frac{\rho_a(\bar{k})t}{-2ikc} + \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})e^{-2ikct}}{-4k^2 c^2} \right) + c^2 e^{-ikct} \left(\frac{\rho_a(\bar{k})e^{2ikct}}{4k^2 c^2} + \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})t}{-2ikc} \right) \\ &= -c^2 e^{ikct} \left(\frac{-\rho_a(\bar{k})t}{2ikc} - \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})e^{-2ikct}}{4k^2 c^2} \right) + c^2 e^{-ikct} \left(\frac{\rho_a(\bar{k})e^{2ikct}}{4k^2 c^2} - \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})t}{2ikc} \right) \\ &= c e^{ikct} \frac{\rho_a(\bar{k})t}{2ik} - c e^{-ikct} \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})t}{2ik} + \frac{e^{-ikct}}{4k^2} (\rho_a(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0}) \end{aligned}$$

and a general solution of (A) is given by;

$$A(\bar{k}) e^{ikct} + B(\bar{k}) e^{-ikct} + c e^{ikct} \frac{\rho_a(\bar{k})t}{2ik} - c e^{-ikct} \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})t}{2ik} + \frac{e^{-ikct}}{4k^2} (\rho_a(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0})$$

(FF)

where at $t = 0$;

$$A(\bar{k}) + B(\bar{k}) + \frac{1}{4k^2} (\rho_a(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0}) = \mathcal{F}(V_{01})(\bar{k}) \quad (CC)$$

and differentiating with respect to t at $t = 0$;

$$ikcA(\bar{k}) - ikcB(\bar{k}) + \frac{c\rho_a(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0} - \frac{c\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0} - \frac{ikc}{4k^2} (\rho_a(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ikcA(\bar{k}) - ikcB(\bar{k}) + \frac{c\rho_a(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0 2ik} - \frac{c\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0 2ik} + \frac{c}{4ik} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) \\
&= 0 \quad (DD)
\end{aligned}$$

As $\nabla^2(V_{01}) = -\frac{\rho_0}{\epsilon_0}$, we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}(\nabla^2(V_{01}))(\bar{k}) \\
&= -k^2 \mathcal{F}(V_{01}) = -\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\rho_0}{\epsilon_0}\right) \\
&= -\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) - \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k})
\end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\mathcal{F}(V_{01})(\bar{k}) = \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right)$$

and, from (CC) ;

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\bar{k}) + B(\bar{k}) + \frac{1}{4k^2} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) &= \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) \\
A(\bar{k}) + B(\bar{k}) &= \left(-\frac{1}{4k^2} + \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) \\
&= \frac{3}{4k^2 \epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \quad (EE)
\end{aligned}$$

and, from (DD) ;

$$\begin{aligned}
ikcA(\bar{k}) - ikcB(\bar{k}) &= \frac{-c\rho_a(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0 2ik} + \frac{c\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0 2ik} - \frac{c}{4ik} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) \\
&= \frac{-c}{2ik} \frac{1}{ikc\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) - \frac{c}{4ik\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \\
&= \frac{1}{2k^2 \epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) - \frac{c}{4ik\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \\
A(\bar{k}) - B(\bar{k}) &= \frac{1}{2ik^3 c\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) + \frac{1}{4k^2 \epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \quad (FF)
\end{aligned}$$

Solving (EE) and (FF) , we obtain;

$$\begin{aligned}
2A(\bar{k}) &= \frac{3}{4k^2 \epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{2ik^3 c\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) + \frac{1}{4k^2 \epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \\
&= \frac{1}{k^2 \epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{2ik^3 c\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(\bar{k}) &= \frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \\
 2B(\bar{k}) &= \frac{3}{4k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{2ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) - \frac{1}{4k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{2ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \\
 B(\bar{k}) &= \frac{1}{4k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, from (FF) , the solution of (A) , matching the initial conditions, for $t > 0$, is given by;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] e^{ikct} + \left[\frac{1}{4k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] e^{-ikct} \\
 & + ce^{ikct} \frac{\rho_a(\bar{k})t}{2ik} - ce^{-ikct} \frac{\rho_b(\bar{k})t}{2ik} + \frac{e^{-ikct}}{4k^2} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) \quad (GG)
 \end{aligned}$$

We want to differentiate (GG) with respect to t , so we require the formula for the n 'th derivative of $e^{\alpha t}$, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$. Suppose inductively that;

$$(e^{\alpha t})^{(n)} = n\alpha^{n-1}e^{\alpha t} + \alpha^n e^{\alpha t} \quad (\dagger)$$

Then;

$$\begin{aligned}
 (e^{\alpha t})^{(n+1)} &= [n\alpha^{n-1}e^{\alpha t} + \alpha^n e^{\alpha t}]' \\
 &= n\alpha^n e^{\alpha t} + \alpha^n e^{\alpha t} + \alpha^{n+1} e^{\alpha t} \\
 &= (n+1)\alpha^n e^{\alpha t} + \alpha^{n+1} e^{\alpha t}
 \end{aligned}$$

so we can use the formula (\dagger) applied to the terms te^{ikct} and te^{-ikct} in (GG) . Then taking the n 'th time derivative of the process $\mathcal{F}(V_t)$ and evaluating at $t = 0$, we obtain that;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}(V_t^{(n)}) \\
 &= (ikc)^n \left[\frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] + (-ikc)^n \left[\frac{1}{4k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{c\rho_a(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0} n(ikc)^{n-1} - \frac{c\rho_b(\bar{k})}{\epsilon_0} n(-ikc)^{n-1} + \frac{(-ikc)^n}{4k^2} \left(\frac{\rho_a}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) + \frac{\rho_b}{\epsilon_0}(\bar{k}) \right) \\
 &= (ikc)^n \left[\frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] + (-ikc)^n \left[\frac{1}{4k^2\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{nc}{2ik\epsilon_0} [\rho_a(\bar{k})(ikc)^{n-1} - \rho_b(\bar{k})(-ikc)^{n-1}] + \frac{(-ikc)^n}{4k^2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) \\
& = (ikc)^n \left[\frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] + (-ikc)^n \left[\frac{1}{2k^2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{4ik^3c\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0) \right] \\
& + \frac{nc}{2ik\epsilon_0} [\rho_a(\bar{k})(ikc)^{n-1} - \rho_b(\bar{k})(-ikc)^{n-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for n even, $n \geq 2$, using the fact that ρ obeys the wave equation $\square^2(\rho) = 0$ at $t = 0$;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}(V_t^{(n)}) \\
& = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\rho_0)}{\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{(ikc)^n}{k^2} + \frac{nc(ick)^{n-1}}{2ik} \right] \\
& = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\rho_0)}{\epsilon_0} k^{n-2} c^n \left[i^n + \frac{ni^{n-2}}{2} \right] \\
& = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\rho_0)}{\epsilon_0} \frac{k^{n-2} c^n i^{n-2} (n-2)}{2} \\
& = \frac{\mathcal{F}(\rho_0)}{\epsilon_0} \frac{k^{n-2} c^n (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (n-2)}{2} \\
& = \frac{c^2(n-2)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}((c^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (\nabla^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \rho_0) \\
& = \frac{c^2(n-2)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0^{(2)\frac{n-2}{2}}) \\
& = \frac{c^2(n-2)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0^{(n-2)})
\end{aligned}$$

By the classical theory applied to Duhamel's principle or the inversion theorem for split normal functions, see [2];

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} V_t = V_{01}$$

and using the DCT, together with the classical inversion theorem, applied to $V_t^{(n)}$, $n \geq 2$, which has compact support, see [4], we obtain that, for $n \geq 2$;

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} V_t^{(n)} = \frac{c^2(n-2)\rho_0^{(n-2)}}{2\epsilon_0}$$

For n odd, $n \geq 3$, we obtain;

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}(V_t^{(n)})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0)}{\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{(ick)^n}{2ik^3c} + \frac{nc(ick)^{n-1}}{2ik(ick)} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0)}{\epsilon_0} k^{n-3} c^{n-1} \left[\frac{i^{n-1}}{2} + \frac{ni^{n-3}}{2} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0)}{\epsilon_0} \frac{k^{n-3} c^{n-1} i^{n-3} (n-1)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{\mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0)}{\epsilon_0} \frac{k^{n-3} c^{n-1} (-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (n-1)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{c^2(n-1)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}((c^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\nabla^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \dot{\rho}_0) \\
 &= \frac{c^2(n-1)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0^{(2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}}) \\
 &= \frac{c^2(n-1)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\rho}_0^{(n-3)}) \\
 &= \frac{c^2(n-1)}{2\epsilon_0} \mathcal{F}(\rho_0^{(n-2)})
 \end{aligned}$$

By the classical theory applied to Duhamel's principle or the inversion theorem for split normal functions again, see [2];

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \dot{V}_t = 0$$

and using the DCT, together with the classical inversion theorem, applied to $V_t^{(n)}$, $n \geq 3$, which has compact support, see [4], we obtain that, for $n \geq 3$;

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} V_t^{(n)} = \frac{c^2(n-1)\rho_0^{(n-2)}}{2\epsilon_0}$$

It follows that all the time derivatives of V_t extend continuously to the boundary $t = 0$, and it is a straightforward argument to then prove that all the derivatives $\frac{\partial^{i+j+k+l} V}{\partial x^i \partial y^j \partial z^k \partial t^l}$ extend continuously to the boundary $t = 0$ as well, see the corresponding argument in [5]. In particular, by continuity, the inhomogeneous wave equation $\square^2(V) = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon}$ holds at $t = 0$. We can use a similar argument for $t < 0$, noting that we have to make a sign reversal for $\dot{\rho}_0$ and also a sign reversal for $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \mathcal{F}(V_t^{(n)})$, when n is odd. The case for n even is unaffected, and the case for n odd is also unaffected as we obtain a double minus sign in the calculation. As the derivatives match at the boundary, this establishes the smoothness of V on \mathcal{R}^4 , as required. \square

Definition 0.6. *Opposites*

Fixing \bar{r} , we have, by Lemma 0.4, that up to a set of measure zero, $V_{\bar{r}} = \bigcup_{d \in B(\bar{0}, s)} H_d$, where;

$$H_d = \{\bar{r}' : |\bar{r}' - d| = |\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|\}$$

is parametrised by $d \in B(\bar{0}, s)$. Given such a hyperplane H_d , with $d \in B(\bar{0}, s)$, we let \bar{p}_d be the midpoint of d and \bar{r} , and note that \bar{r}' is defined by the intersection of $l_{d, \bar{r}'}$, the line connecting d and \bar{r}' with H_d . For $\bar{r}' \in H_d$, we let \bar{r}'_{opp} be defined by;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}'_{opp} &= \bar{r}' - 2(\bar{r}' - \bar{p}_d) \\ &= 2\bar{p}_d - \bar{r}' \end{aligned}$$

We note first that, for $\bar{r}' \in H_d$;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}' + \bar{r}'_{opp} &= \bar{r}' + (2\bar{p}_d - \bar{r}') \\ &= 2\bar{p}_d \end{aligned}$$

and secondly, that, using similar triangles;

$$|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'| = |\bar{r} - \bar{r}'_{opp}|$$

so that;

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{r} - \bar{r}')^\wedge + (\bar{r} - \bar{r}'_{opp})^\wedge \\ &= \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{r}'}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} + \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{r}'_{opp}}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'_{opp}|} \\ &= \frac{2\bar{r} - (\bar{r}' + \bar{r}'_{opp})}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} \\ &= \frac{2\bar{r} - 2\bar{p}_d}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} \quad (DD) \end{aligned}$$

...lemma; $\frac{|(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp})_1|}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} = O(\frac{1}{r})$ for $\bar{r} = (r, y, z)$, y, z fixed...

For $v \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we let $\overline{E}_{0,v}(\bar{r})$ be defined by restricting the integral in Jefimenko's equations to the compact volume $V \cap (|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'| \leq v)$, $\overline{E}_{0,v}$ is

the initial condition of the electric field defined by restricting the initial (ρ, \bar{J}) to $(-v, \infty)$. The basis of the hyperbolic method in [6] was to show that $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \bar{E}_v = \bar{E}$. Observe, that if $\bar{r}' \in V \cap (|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'| \leq v)$ then $\bar{r}'_{opp} \in V \cap (|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'| \leq v)$.

$$\dots \text{lemma } |\bar{r}'_{opp, opp} - \bar{r}'| = O\left(\frac{1}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|}\right)$$

\dots lemma, wave equation ρ with compact initial conditions, $\dots \rho(\bar{r}', t) + \rho(\bar{r}'_{opp}, t)$ has higher order decay on V than $\rho(\bar{r}', t)$.

\dots lemma, higher decay rate from restricting angle to $O(\frac{1}{|\bar{r}'|})$ in blow up region (asymptotic cone).

Lemma 0.7. $\frac{\bar{r}'_{opp, opp}}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} = \frac{\bar{r}'}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}'|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)$

Proof. Fixing \bar{r} and \bar{r}' , we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}'_{opp} &= \bar{r}' - 2(\bar{r}' - \bar{p}_d) \\ &= 2\bar{p}_d - \bar{r}' \\ &= 2\left(\frac{\bar{d} + \bar{r}}{2}\right) - \bar{r}' \\ &= \bar{d} + \bar{r} - \bar{r}' \quad (A) \end{aligned}$$

and;

$$\bar{d} = \bar{r}' - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}'$$

so that, substituting into (A);

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}'_{opp} &= \bar{r}' - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' + \bar{r} - \bar{r}' \\ &= \bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' \quad (B) \end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}'_{opp, opp} &= \bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \bar{r}'_{opp} \\ &= \bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \bar{r}'_{opp} \end{aligned}$$

so that, substituting from (B);

$$\bar{r}'_{opp,opp} = \bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}'|} (\bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}') \quad (C)$$

Fixing \bar{r} , we have that, using Cauchy-Schwartz and Newton's theorem, that;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} &= [1 - \frac{2\langle \bar{r}', \bar{r} \rangle - |\bar{r}|^2}{|\bar{r}'|^2}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= [1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}) \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\bar{r}'|}{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|} &= [1 - \frac{2\langle \bar{r}', \bar{r} \rangle - |\bar{r}|^2}{|\bar{r}'|^2}]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= [1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|})]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}), \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

so that, using Cauchy-Schwartz, (*), and Newton's Theorem again;

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}'|} \\ &= \frac{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}' + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}) \bar{r}'|} \\ &= [1 - \frac{2\langle \bar{r} - \bar{r}', \bar{r}' \rangle - |\bar{r}'|^2 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|^2}{|\bar{r}'|^2})}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|^2}]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= [1 - 2O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|})(1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|})) + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|^2}{|\bar{r}'|^2})(1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}))^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= [1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|})]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}) \quad (DD) \end{aligned}$$

It follows, from (C), (DD), that;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}'_{opp,opp} &= \bar{r} - (1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}))(\bar{r} - (1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}))\bar{r}') \\ &= -O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|})\bar{r} + (1 + O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}))^2\bar{r}' \end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{r}'_{opp,opp} - \bar{r}'}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} &= -O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right) \frac{\bar{r}}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right) \frac{\bar{r}'}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} \\ &= -O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)(1 + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)) \\ &= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 0.8. *Let \bar{r} vary as (r, y, z) with y, z fixed. Then for $\bar{r}' \in V_{\bar{r}}$;*

$$\frac{|(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp})_1|}{|\bar{r} - \bar{r}'|} = O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Proof. First observe that, by the definition of opposites and the fact that $\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')$ is perpendicular to H_d ;

$$(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp}) \cdot \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|} = 0$$

where $\bar{d}(\bar{r}') \in B(\bar{0}, s)$. It follows that, using Cauchy Schwartz and the observations on opposites above, that;

$$\begin{aligned} |(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp})_1| &= |(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp}) \cdot \bar{e}_1| \\ &= |(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp}) \cdot (\bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|})| \\ &\leq |\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp}| |\bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|}| \\ &= |(\bar{r}' - \bar{r}) - (\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{r})| |\bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|}| \\ &\leq 2|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}| |\bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|}| \end{aligned}$$

so it is sufficient to show that;

$$|\bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|}| = O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$$

We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r} - \bar{d}(\bar{r}')|} \\ &= (1, 0, 0) - \frac{1}{[(r-d_1)^2 + (y-d_2)^2 + (z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} (r - d_1, y - d_2, z - d_3) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{[(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left([(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ \left. -(r-d_1), y-d_2, z-d_3 \right)$$

so then, using the fact that $|\bar{d}| \leq s$, uniformly in \bar{r}' , and Newton's theorem, for sufficiently large r ;

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \bar{e}_1 - \frac{\bar{r}-\bar{d}(\bar{r}')}{|\bar{r}-\bar{d}(\bar{r}')|} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{[(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left([(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. -(r-d_1) \right) + |y-d_2| + |z-d_3| \\ & \leq \frac{C_{y,z}}{[(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{|[(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}-(r-d_1)|}{[(r-d_1)^2+(y-d_2)^2+(z-d_3)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{y,z}(1+O(\frac{1}{r}))^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{r} + \frac{|r(1+O(\frac{1}{r}))^{\frac{1}{2}}-r+d_1|}{r(1+O(\frac{1}{r}))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & = \frac{C_{y,z}(1+O(\frac{1}{r}))}{r} + \frac{|r(1+O(\frac{1}{r})) - r + d_1|(1+O(\frac{1}{r}))}{r} \\ & \leq \frac{D_{y,z}}{r} + \frac{|O(1)+d_1|(1+O(\frac{1}{r}))}{r} \\ & \leq \frac{E_{y,z}}{r} \end{aligned}$$

where $\{C_{y,z}, D_{y,z}, E_{y,z}\}$ are constants depending on $\{y, z\}$. □

Lemma 0.9. *Reynolds Transport Theorem for Hyperplanes and Spheres*

Let $f : \mathcal{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a smooth function such that uniformly in $t \in \mathcal{R}$, $f(\bar{x}, t)$ is supported on $B(\bar{0}, s)$, for some fixed $s > 0$. Let H_t be a variation of the hyperplane H_0 , obtained by translating H_0 by the vector $t\bar{v}$. Then we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{H_t} f(\bar{x}, t) dA \\ & = \int_{H_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dA + \int_{H_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \bar{v} dA \end{aligned}$$

Let δB_t be a variation of the sphere $\delta B_0 = \delta B(\bar{x}_0, ct_0)$, obtained by altering the radius of δB_0 to ct and keeping the centre \bar{x}_0 fixed. Then we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{\delta B_t} f(\bar{x}, t) dS(t) \\ &= \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot c\hat{n} dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{2f(\bar{x}, 0)}{t_0} dS(0) \end{aligned}$$

where \hat{n} is the unit normal to the sphere δB_0 .

For a variation δB_{-ct} of δB_0 , with $t < 0$, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{\delta B_{-ct}} f(\bar{x}, t) dS(t) \\ &= \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0) - \int_{\delta B_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot c\hat{n} dS(0) - \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{2f(\bar{x}, 0)}{t_0} dS(0) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{H_t} f(\bar{x}, t) dA \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_{H_h} f(\bar{x}, h) dA - \int_{H_0} f(\bar{x}, 0) dA \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_{H_h} (f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) dA + \int_{H_h} f(\bar{x}, 0) dA - \int_{H_0} f(\bar{x}, 0) dA \right) \end{aligned}$$

Checking the conditions of the Moore-Osgood Theorem, we have that;

(i). For h' fixed, using the DCT;

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{H_{h'}} (f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) dA = \int_{H_{h'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dA$$

(ii). For h fixed, using continuity;

$$\lim_{h' \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{H_{h'}} (f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) dA = \int_{H_0} \frac{(f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0))}{h} dA$$

(iii). The limit in (i) is uniform in h' , as;

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) \text{ uniformly in } \bar{x} \in B(\bar{0}, s)$$

because f is smooth, so $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2}(\bar{x}, t)$ is bounded on $B(\bar{0}, s) \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and we can use the MVT. It follows that;

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_{H_h} (f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) dA \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{h, h' \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{H_{h'}} (f(\bar{x}, h) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) dA \\
&= \lim_{h' \rightarrow 0} \int_{H_{h'}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dA \\
&= \int_{H_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dA \quad (*)
\end{aligned}$$

We have that, using the fact that the Jacobian of a translation is the identity and Taylor's theorem;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{H_h} f(\bar{x}, 0) dA - \int_{H_0} f(\bar{x}, 0) dA) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{H_0} (f(\bar{x} + h\bar{v}, 0) - f(\bar{x}, 0)) dA) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{H_0} f(\bar{x}, 0) + h \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \bar{v} + O(h^2) - f(\bar{x}, 0) dA) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{H_0} h \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \bar{v} + O(h^2) dA) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (\int_{H_0} (\nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \bar{v} + O(h)) dA) \\
&= \int_{H_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \bar{v} dA
\end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain the result.

For the second part, we follow the proof of the first part up to (*), replacing H_t with B_t . Then, we have that, using the fact that the change of measure $dS(h) = \frac{4\pi c^2(t_0+h)^2}{4\pi c^2 t_0^2} dS_0 = \frac{(t_0+h)^2}{t_0^2} dS_0$, and Taylor's theorem;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{\delta B_h} f(\bar{x}, 0) dS(h) - \int_{\delta B_0} f(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0)) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{\delta B_0} f(\bar{x} + ch \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0}{|\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0|}, 0) \frac{(t_0+h)^2}{t_0^2} dS_0 - \int_{\delta B_0} f(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0)) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{\delta B_0} (f(\bar{x}, 0) + ch \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \hat{n} + O(h^2)) \frac{(t_0+h)^2}{t_0^2} - f(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0)) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (\int_{\delta B_0} ch \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \hat{n} + O(h^2) dS(0)) \\
&+ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{\delta B_0} (f(\bar{x}, 0) + ch \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot \hat{n} + O(h^2)) \frac{(2t_0 h + h^2)}{t_0^2} dS_0 \\
&= \int_{\delta B_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot c\hat{n} dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{2f(\bar{x}, 0)}{t_0} dS(0)
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

For the last part, we have that, with $s = -t$, $ds = -dt$, and using the second part;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \int_{\delta B_{-c(t-t_0)}} f(\bar{x}, t) dS(t) \\
&= - \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \int_{\delta B_{c(s+t_0)}} f(\bar{x}, -s) dS(-s) \\
&= - \left[\int_{\delta B_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot c\hat{n} dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{2f(\bar{x}, 0)}{t_0} dS(0) \right] \\
&= - \left[\int_{\delta B_0} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot c\hat{n} dS(0) + \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{2f(\bar{x}, 0)}{t_0} dS(0) \right] \\
&= \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\bar{x}, 0) dS(0) - \int_{\delta B_0} \nabla(f)(\bar{x}, 0) \cdot c\hat{n} dS(0) - \int_{\delta B_0} \frac{2f(\bar{x}, 0)}{t_0} dS(0)
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

□

Lemma 0.10. *Convergence of opposite centres, angles and improved version of Lemma 0.7*

We have that;

$$\left\langle \frac{\bar{r}'}{|\bar{r}'|}, \frac{\bar{r}'_{opp}}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \right\rangle = -1 + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)$$

and;

$$\bar{d}(\bar{r}') = \bar{d}(\bar{r}'_{opp}) + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and;

$$\bar{r}' = \bar{r}'_{opp} + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Proof. For the first claim, by the definition of opposites, fixing \bar{r} , we have that;

$$\bar{r}'_{opp} = \bar{r}' - 2(\bar{r}' - \bar{p}_d)$$

$$= -\bar{r}' + 2\bar{p}_d$$

where $d \in B(\bar{0}, s)$. Then, using the definition of \bar{p}_d , the calculation above and Newton's theorem;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \frac{\bar{r}'}{|\bar{r}'|}, \frac{\bar{r}'_{opp}}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{|\bar{r}'||\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \langle \bar{r}', \bar{r}'_{opp} \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{|\bar{r}'||\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \langle \bar{r}', -\bar{r}' + 2\bar{p}_d \rangle \\
&= -\frac{|\bar{r}'|^2}{|\bar{r}'||\bar{r}'_{opp}|} + 2\frac{\langle \bar{r}', \bar{p}_d \rangle}{|\bar{r}'||\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \\
&= -\frac{|\bar{r}'|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|} + \frac{\langle \bar{r}', \bar{d} + \bar{r} \rangle}{|\bar{r}'||\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \\
&= -\frac{\frac{|\bar{r}'|}{|\bar{r}' - \bar{d}|}}{\frac{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{d}|}} + \epsilon \\
&= -\frac{(1 + O(\frac{|\bar{d}|}{|\bar{r}'|}))}{1 + O(\frac{|\bar{d}|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|})} + \epsilon \\
&= -1 + O(\frac{1}{|\bar{r}'|}) + \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

as $\bar{d} \in B(\bar{0}, s)$ with s fixed, and, by Cauchy-Schwartz;

$$\begin{aligned}
|\epsilon| &\leq \frac{|\bar{r}'||\bar{d} + \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'||\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \\
&= \frac{|\bar{d} + \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|} \\
&= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp}|}\right) \\
&= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

as;

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{r}'| &= |\bar{r}' - \bar{d} + \bar{d}| \\
&\leq ||\bar{r}' - \bar{d}| + |\bar{d}| \\
&= |\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{d}| + |\bar{d}| \\
&\leq |\bar{r}'_{opp}| + 2|\bar{d}|
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly;

$$|\bar{r}'_{opp}| \leq |\bar{r}'| + 2|\bar{d}|$$

which gives the result.

For the second claim, let $l_{O,\bar{r}'}$ intersect $\delta B(\bar{0}, 1)$ at p and $l_{O,\bar{r}'_{opp}}$ intersect $\delta B(\bar{0}, 1)$ at q . Let S be a great circle containing the points $\{p, -p, q\}$ and suppose, without loss of generality, that p is situated at $(-1, 0)$, $-p$ is situated at $(1, 0)$ and q is situated at $(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$, in coordinates on S . By the first result, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p, q \rangle &= -\cos(\theta) \\ &= -1 + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$1 - \cos(\theta) = O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)$$

and;

$$\begin{aligned} |q - (-p)| &= (\sin^2(\theta) + (\cos(\theta) - 1)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (1 - 2\cos(\theta) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{2}(1 - \cos(\theta))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{2}O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Now $l_{O,\bar{r}'}$ intersects $\delta B(\bar{0}, w)$ at $d(\bar{r}')$, for some w with $0 < w \leq s$, so rescaling;

$$\begin{aligned} |l_{O,\bar{r}'_{opp}} \cap B(\bar{0}, w) - (-d\bar{r}')| &= wO\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

By similar triangles, we have that $span(\bar{r}'_{opp})$ intersects $\delta B(\bar{0}, w)$ at a further point $c(\bar{r}'_{opp})$ with;

$$|c(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')| = O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Let $e(\bar{r}'_{opp})$ be the closest point on $span(\bar{r}'_{opp})$ to $d(\bar{r}')$, so that $l_{\bar{r}'_{opp}, e(\bar{r}'_{opp})}$ is perpendicular to $l_{e(\bar{r}'_{opp}), d(\bar{r}')}$. As $c(\bar{r}'_{opp}) \in span(\bar{r}'_{opp})$ as well, we must have that;

$$|e(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')| \leq |c(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')| = O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right), (\dagger)$$

and, by Pythagoras' theorem;

$$|d(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')| = (|e(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')|^2 + |e(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}'_{opp})|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (Q)$$

Let θ be the angle between $l_{\bar{r}'_{opp}, d(\bar{r}')}$ and $l_{\bar{r}'_{opp}, d(\bar{r}'_{opp})}$, then;

$$\begin{aligned} |e(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}'_{opp})| &= |\bar{r}'_{opp} - d(\bar{r}')|(1 - \cos(\theta)) \\ &= |\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{r}|(1 - \cos(\theta)) \\ &= |\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|(1 - \cos(\theta)), (R) \end{aligned}$$

whereas, by (\dagger) ;

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(\theta) &= \frac{|e(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')|}{|\bar{r}'_{opp} - d(\bar{r}')|} \\ &= \frac{O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right)}{|\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{r}|} \\ &= \frac{O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right)}{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|} \\ &= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that, using Newton's theorem;

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\theta) &= \sqrt{1 - \sin^2(\theta)} \\ &= 1 + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \frac{1}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$1 - \cos(\theta) = O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|^3}\right)$$

and, from (R);

$$\begin{aligned} & |e(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}'_{opp})| \\ &= |\bar{r}' - \bar{r}| O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|^3}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that, using (Q);

$$\begin{aligned} & |d(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - d(\bar{r}')| \\ &= \left(O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

as required.

For the final claim, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp} &= \bar{r}' - (\bar{r}'_{opp} - 2(\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{p}_{d(\bar{r}'_{opp})})) \\ &= \bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp} + 2(\bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{p}_{d(\bar{r}'_{opp})}) \\ &= \bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp} + 2\bar{r}'_{opp} - 2\left(\frac{d(\bar{r}'_{opp}) + \bar{r}}{2}\right) \\ &= \bar{r}' + \bar{r}'_{opp} - d(\bar{r}'_{opp}) - \bar{r} \end{aligned}$$

so that, rearranging;

$$d(\bar{r}'_{opp}) = \bar{r}' + \bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{r} - (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp})$$

whereas;

$$d(\bar{r}') = \bar{r}' - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}'$$

It follows that, using the definition of opposites;

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\bar{r}') - d(\bar{r}'_{opp}) &= (\bar{r}' - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}') - (\bar{r}' + \bar{r}'_{opp} - \bar{r} - (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp})) \\
&= \bar{r} - \bar{r}'_{opp} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' + (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}) \\
&= \bar{r} - (\bar{r}' - 2(\bar{r}' - \bar{p}_{d(\bar{r}')})) - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' + (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}) \\
&= \bar{r} - (-\bar{r}' + 2\bar{p}_{d(\bar{r}')} - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}') + (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}) \\
&= \bar{r} + \bar{r}' - 2(\frac{d(\bar{r}') + \bar{r}}{2}) - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' + (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}) \\
&= \bar{r}' - d(\bar{r}') - \frac{|\bar{r}' - \bar{r}|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' + (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}) \\
&= \bar{r}' - d(\bar{r}') - \frac{|\bar{r}' - d(\bar{r}')|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' + (\bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}) \\
&= \bar{r}' - \bar{r}'_{opp,opp}
\end{aligned}$$

as \bar{r}' , $d(\bar{r}')$ and $\bar{0}$ are collinear, so that;

$$\bar{r}' - d(\bar{r}') - \frac{|\bar{r}' - d(\bar{r}')|}{|\bar{r}'|} \bar{r}' = \bar{0}$$

Now, use the second result. □

Lemma 0.11. *Wave Equation and Opposites*

Let ρ satisfy the wave equation, $\square^2(\rho) = 0$, on \mathcal{R}^4 , with compactly supported on $B(\bar{0}, s)$ initial conditions $\{\rho_0, \dot{\rho}_0\}$, let \bar{r} be fixed, and, with the above notation, let $\{\bar{x}, \bar{x}_{opp}\}$ be a pair of opposites, then;

$$\dot{\rho}(\bar{x}, t_r) = O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x} - \bar{r}|^3})$$

$$\dot{\rho}(\bar{x}, t_r) + \dot{\rho}(\bar{x}_{opp}, t_r) = O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x} - \bar{r}|^3})O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{x}|})$$

$$\text{where } t_r = -\frac{|\bar{x} - \bar{r}|}{c}.$$

Proof. By Kirchoff's formula, for $t < 0$;

$$\rho(\bar{x}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct)} (\rho_0 - ct\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) dS(\bar{y})$$

so that, using the last part of Lemma 0.9 and the product rule;

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}(\bar{x}, t) &= \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi c^2 t^3} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct)} (\rho_0 - ct\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) dS(\bar{y}) \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct)} (-c\dot{\rho}_0) dS(\bar{y}) \\
&- 2 \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^3} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct)} (\rho_0 - ct\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) dS(\bar{y}) \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct)} (\nabla(\rho_0) \cdot c\hat{n} + \nabla(-ct\dot{\rho}_0) \cdot c\hat{n} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) \cdot c\hat{n}) dS(\bar{y}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where \hat{n} is the unit normal to the sphere $\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct)$. Similarly, making the substitution for the opposite;

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}(\bar{x}_{opp}, t) &= \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi c^2 t^3} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}_{opp}, -ct)} (\rho_0 - ct\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x}_{opp})) dS(\bar{y}) \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}_{opp}, -ct)} (-c\dot{\rho}_0) dS(\bar{y}) \\
&- 2 \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^3} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}_{opp}, -ct)} (\rho_0 - ct\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x}_{opp})) dS(\bar{y}) \\
&- \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\bar{x}_{opp}, -ct)} (\nabla(\rho_0) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(-ct\dot{\rho}_0) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} \\
&- \bar{x}_{opp})) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp}) dS(\bar{y}) \left. \right], (A)
\end{aligned}$$

Let pr be the projection from the sphere $\delta B(\bar{x}, -ct_r)$ onto the hyperplane $H_{d(\bar{x})}$ and let pr_{opp} be the projection from the sphere $\delta B(\bar{x}_{opp}, -ct_r)$ onto the hyperplane $H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}$, let $d\mu(\bar{y})$ be Lebesgue measure on the hyperplanes, then by the change of variables formula, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}(\bar{x}, t_r) &= \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*} (\rho_0 - ct_r\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) d\mu(\bar{y}) \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*} (-c\dot{\rho}_0) d\mu(\bar{y}) \\
&- 2 \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*} (\rho_0 - ct_r\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) d\mu(\bar{y}) \\
&- \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*} (\nabla(\rho_0) \cdot c\hat{n} + \nabla(-ct_r\dot{\rho}_0) \cdot c\hat{n} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) \cdot \\
&c\hat{n}) d\mu(\bar{y}) \left. \right] + \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

and;

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}(\bar{x}_{opp}, t_r) &= \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (\rho_0 - ct_r\dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x}_{opp})) d\mu(\bar{y}) \right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (-c\dot{\rho}_0) d\mu(\bar{y})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (\rho_0 - ct_r \dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x}_{opp})) d\mu(\bar{y}) \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (\nabla(\rho_0) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} \\
& - \bar{x}_{opp})) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp}) d\mu(\bar{y})] + \epsilon_{opp} (B)
\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ and ϵ_{opp} are the error terms;

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &= \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*}(\rho_0 - ct_r \dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) (pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*}(-c\dot{\rho}_0) (pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \\
& - 2\frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*}(\rho_0 - ct_r \dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) (pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} pr^{-1,*}(\nabla(\rho_0) \cdot c\hat{n} + \nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \cdot c\hat{n} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})) \\
& \left. \cdot c\hat{n}) (pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and;

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{opp} &= \left[\frac{-1}{2\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (\rho_0 - ct_r \dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x}_{opp})) (pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) \right. \\
& - d\mu(\bar{y})) \\
& + \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (-c\dot{\rho}_0) (pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \\
& - 2\frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^3} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (\rho_0 - ct_r \dot{\rho}_0 + D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x}_{opp})) (pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \\
& - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} (\nabla(\rho_0) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \cdot (\bar{y} \\
& - \bar{x}_{opp})) \cdot c\hat{n}_{opp}) (pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \left. \right] (C)
\end{aligned}$$

We simplify the error term $\epsilon + \epsilon_{opp}$ first, recalling from Lemma ?? that;

$$(pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y})) \text{ and } (pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) - d\mu(\bar{y}))$$

are order $O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^2})$. We translate Tr the hyperplane $H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}$ to $H_{d(\bar{x})}$ by the vector $O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{x}|}^{\frac{1}{2}})$, recalling from Lemma 0.10 that $d(\bar{x}) - d(\bar{x}_{opp}) =$

$O(\frac{|\bar{r}|}{|\bar{x}|}^{\frac{1}{2}})$, and noting there is no change of measure

so that;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon &= O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^3})O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^2}) + O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^2})O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^2}) + O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^3})O(\frac{|\bar{x}|}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^2}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} [pr^{-1,*}(\nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \bullet c\hat{n} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \bullet (\bar{y}-\bar{x})) \bullet c\hat{n})(pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) \\
 &\quad - d\mu(\bar{y}))] \\
 &= O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^4}) + O(\frac{|\bar{x}|}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^5}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} [pr^{-1,*}(\nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \bullet c\hat{n} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \bullet (\bar{y}-\bar{x})) \bullet c\hat{n})(pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) \\
 &\quad - d\mu(\bar{y}))] \\
 \epsilon_{opp} &= O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^4}) + O(\frac{|\bar{x}_{opp}|}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^5}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x}_{opp})}} [pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(\nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \bullet c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \bullet (\bar{y}-\bar{x}_{opp})) \bullet c\hat{n}_{opp})(pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) \\
 &\quad - d\mu(\bar{y}))] \\
 \epsilon + \epsilon_{opp} &= O(\frac{1}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^4}) + O(\frac{|\bar{x}|}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^5}) + O(\frac{|\bar{x}_{opp}|}{|\bar{x}-\bar{r}|^5}) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} [pr^{-1,*}(\nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \bullet c\hat{n} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \bullet (\bar{y}-\bar{x})) \bullet c\hat{n})(pr^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) \\
 &\quad - d\mu(\bar{y}))] \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{H_{d(\bar{x})}} [Tr^* pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(\nabla(-ct_r \dot{\rho}_0) \bullet c\hat{n}_{opp} + \nabla(D\rho_0 \bullet (\bar{y}-\bar{x}_{opp})) \bullet c\hat{n}_{opp})(pr_{opp}^{-1,*}(dS(\bar{y})) \\
 &\quad - d\mu(\bar{y}))]
 \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Lemma 0.10, we have that on the respective spheres restricted to $B(\bar{0}, s)$, that;

.....

.....Strategy; Reduce to hyperplanes and cancel \hat{n} and \hat{n}_{opp} in pairs at t_r , using Lemma 0.10, obtain $\nabla(D(\rho) \bullet \bar{x} - \bar{x}_{opp})$ in one term, use the extra derivative on ρ and Lemma ?? with the higher decay in the z

variable.

□

Lemma 0.12. *Let the fields $\{\overline{E}, \overline{B}\}$ be as in Lemma ??, then $\overline{E}_0(\overline{r})$ and $\overline{B}_0(\overline{r})$ are of moderate decrease in the sense of [3]?*

Moreover, the fields $\{\frac{\partial^{i+j+k}(\overline{E})}{\partial x^i \partial y^j \partial z^k}, \frac{\partial^{i+j+k}(\overline{B})}{\partial x^i \partial y^j \partial z^k}\}$ are of moderate decrease $2 + i + j + k$ in the sense of [3]?

Proof.Using the hyperbolic method and further refinements of the hyperplane method, keeping track of the change of measure.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Elementary Differential Equations and Boundary Value Problems, Seventh Edition, W. Boyce and R. DiPrima, Wiley, (2001).
- [2] A Fourier Inversion Theorem for Normal Functions, Tristram de Piro, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (77) or Accademia, (2024).
- [3] Functions Analytic at Infinity and Normality, Tristram de Piro, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (77) or Accademia, (2024).
- [4] Some Arguments for the Wave Equation in Quantum Theory, Tristram de Piro, Open Journal of Mathematical Sciences, also available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (58) or Accademia, (2021).
- [5] Some Arguments for the Wave Equation in Quantum Theory 6: Waves, Current and Charge, Tristram de Piro, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (70) or Accademia, (2022).
- [6] Some Arguments for the Wave Equation in Quantum Theory 7: The Hyperbolic Method, Tristram de Piro, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net> (70) or Accademia, (2022).

FLAT 3, REDESDALE HOUSE, 85 THE PARK, CHELTENHAM, GL50 2RP
E-mail address: t.depiro@curvalinea.net