TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. This paper continues the work in [4], in which it was proved that given (ρ, \overline{J}) satisfying the relations;

$$(i) \square^2(\rho) = 0$$

$$(ii) \ \Box^2(\overline{J}) = \overline{0}$$

$$(iii) \nabla(\rho) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \overline{J}}{\partial t} = \overline{0}$$

with compact support, the fields $(\overline{E}, \overline{B})$ defined by Jefimenko's equations *exist*. We prove here that the fields $(\overline{E}, \overline{B})$ are quasi split normal, so that the methods of [1] apply.

Lemma 0.1. For charge and current (ρ, \overline{J}) , the relations;

$$(i) \square^2(\rho) = 0$$

$$(ii) \ \Box^2(\overline{J}) = \overline{0}$$

$$(iii) \nabla(\rho) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \overline{J}}{\partial t} = \overline{0}$$

$$(iv) \, \bigtriangledown \times \overline{J} = \overline{0}$$

are invariant under transformations of the base frame by a velocity vector \overline{v} , with $|\overline{v}| < c$.

Proof. The proof that (i), (ii), (iv) hold for the transformed quantities (ρ', \overline{J}') is done in [3]. We check that (iii) holds for the standard boost $v\overline{e}_1$, with 0 < v < c. We have that;

$$\rho' = \gamma_v (p - \frac{vj_1}{c^2})$$

$$\overline{J}' = \gamma_v (\overline{J}_{||} - \rho v \overline{e}_1) + \overline{J}_{\perp}$$

$$= (\gamma_v (j_1 - \rho v), j_2, j_3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} = \gamma_v (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$$

$$\nabla' = \gamma_v (\nabla_{||} + \frac{v}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) + \nabla_{\perp}$$

$$= (\gamma_v (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$$

so that:

$$\nabla'(\rho') = \left(\gamma_v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \left(\gamma_v \left(p - \frac{vj_1}{c^2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left(\gamma_v^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} - \frac{\gamma_v^2 v^2}{c^4} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial y}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial z}\right) (A)$$

while using (iii)

$$\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial t}\right)$$

and using (iv)

$$\frac{\partial j_3}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial z}, \ \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial z}, \ \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial y}$$

so that substituting into (A), we obtain;

$$\nabla'(\rho') = \left(-\frac{\gamma_v^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v^2 v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\gamma_v^2 v^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}, -\frac{\gamma_v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial x}, -\frac{\gamma_v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial t} - \frac{\gamma_v v}{c^2} \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial x}\right) (B)$$

and;

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \overline{J}'}{\partial t'} = \frac{1}{c^2} \gamma_v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(\gamma_v (j_1 - \rho v), j_2, j_3 \right)
= \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\gamma_v^2 \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial t} + \gamma_v^2 v \frac{\partial j_1}{\partial x} - \gamma_v^2 v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \gamma_v^2 v^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial t} + \gamma_v v \frac{\partial j_2}{\partial x}, \gamma_v \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial t} + \gamma_v v \frac{\partial j_3}{\partial x} \right)
(C)$$

so that;

$$\nabla'(\rho') + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \overline{J}'}{\partial t'} = \overline{0}$$

as required.

Lemma 0.2. Let $\{\overline{E}, \overline{B}\}$ be the electric and magnetic fields defined from the charge and current (ρ, \overline{J}) satisfying the relations;

$$(i) \square^2(\rho) = 0$$

$$(ii) \square^2(\overline{J}) = \overline{0}$$

$$(iii) \nabla(\rho) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \overline{J}}{\partial t} = \overline{0}$$

Then the fields \overline{E} and \overline{B} are smooth and of very moderate decrease.

Proof. The existence and smoothness of the fields follows from the results of [4]. In particular, we obtain that $\Box^2(\overline{E}) = \overline{0}$, from the property (iii) and a result in [3]. We claim that the initial conditions \overline{E}_0 and $\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial t}|_0$ are of very moderate decrease, and that the 9 components of $D(\overline{E})_0$ are of very moderate decrease. By Jefimenko's equations, noting that the retarded time $t_r = -\frac{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|}{c}$, and using (iii), we have that;

$$\begin{split} \overline{E}_{0}(\overline{r}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \left[\frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_{r})}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{2}} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') + \frac{\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}(\overline{r}',t_{r})}{c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') - \frac{\frac{\partial\overline{J}}{\partial t}(\overline{r}',t_{r})}{c^{2}|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|} \right] d\tau' \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \left[\frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_{r})}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{2}} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') + \frac{\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}(\overline{r}',t_{r})}{c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') + \frac{\nabla(p)(\overline{r}',t_{r})}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|} \right] d\tau' \end{split}$$

while by Kirchoff's formula, with the support of $\{\rho_0, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0, \nabla(\rho_0), \frac{\partial \nabla(\rho)}{\partial t}|_0\}$ supported on a ball $B(\overline{0}, s)$, we have that;

$$\rho(\overline{r}', t_r) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t_r^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}', -ct_r)} -ct_r \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + \rho_0(y) + D\rho_0(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r}') dS(\overline{y})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}', |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|)} |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + \rho_0(\overline{y}) + D\rho_0(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r}') dS(\overline{y})$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(\overline{r}', t_r) = \frac{1}{4\pi |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}', |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|)} |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'| \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2}|_0(\overline{y}) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0)(\overline{y})$$

$$\cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r}') dS(\overline{y})$$

$$\nabla(p)(\overline{r}', t_r) = \frac{1}{4\pi |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}', |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|)} |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'| \frac{\partial \nabla(\rho)}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + \nabla(\rho_0)(\overline{y})$$

$$+D(\nabla(\rho_0))(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r}')dS(\overline{y})$$

so that;

$$\begin{split} & \overline{E}_0(\overline{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \big[\frac{(\frac{1}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r'},|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|)} |\overline{r}-\overline{r'}| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + \rho_0(\overline{y}) + D\rho_0(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y}-\overline{r'}) dS(\overline{y}))}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^2} \big(\overline{r} - \overline{r'} \big) \\ & + \frac{(\frac{1}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r'},|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|)} |\overline{r}-\overline{r'}| \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} |_0(\overline{y}) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |_0)(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y}-\overline{r'}) dS(\overline{y}))}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|} \big(\overline{r} - \overline{r'} \big) \big) \\ & + \frac{(\frac{1}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r'},|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|)} |\overline{r}-\overline{r'}| \frac{\partial \nabla (\rho)}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + \nabla (\rho_0)(\overline{y}) + D(\nabla (\rho_0))(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y}-\overline{r'}) dS(\overline{y}))}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|} \big] d\tau' \\ & = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \big[\big(\frac{1}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^4} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r'},|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|)} |\overline{r} - \overline{r'}| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + \rho_0(\overline{y}) + D\rho_0(\overline{y}) \big] d\tau' \\ & + \big(\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^3} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r'},|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|)} |\overline{r} - \overline{r'}| \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} |_0(\overline{y}) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}) |_0(\overline{y}) \\ & \cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r'}) dS(\overline{y}) \big) (\overline{r} - \overline{r'}) \big) \\ & + \big(\frac{1}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|^3} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r'},|\overline{r}-\overline{r'}|)} |\overline{r} - \overline{r'}| \frac{\partial \nabla (\rho)}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + \nabla (\rho_0)(\overline{y}) + D(\nabla (\rho_0))(\overline{y}) \big) \\ & \cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r'}) dS(\overline{y}) \big) d\tau' \\ & = \overline{E}_{0,1}(\overline{r}) + \overline{E}_{0,2}(\overline{r}) + \overline{E}_{0,3}(\overline{r}) \end{split}$$

where $V = \bigcup_{d \in B(\overline{0},s)} H_d$ and H_d is the hyperplane defined by;

$$\{\overline{r}':|d-\overline{r}'|=|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|\}$$

We have that for \overline{r} sufficiently large and;

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{B(\overline{0},s)}(\left|\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\right|_{0}|,\left|\rho_{0}\right|,\left|D\rho_{0}\right|) \leq M; \\ & |\overline{E}_{0,1}(\overline{r})| \leq \left|\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}\int_{V}\left[\left(\frac{1}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{4}}\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)}|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\right|_{0}(\overline{y}) + \rho_{0}(\overline{y}) + \rho_{0}(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y}-\overline{r}')dS(\overline{y})\right)(\overline{r}-\overline{r}')\right]d\tau'| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}\int_{V}\left[\left(\frac{4\pi Ms^{2}}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{3}} + \frac{4\pi Ms^{2}}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{3}} + \frac{4\pi Ms^{2}}{4\pi|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{3}}\right)\right]d\tau' \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}}\frac{4\pi Ms^{2}}{4\pi}\int_{V}\frac{3}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^{3}}d\tau' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{3Ms^2}{4\pi} \int_{V} \frac{3}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{3Ms^2}{4\pi} Vol(B(\overline{0}, s)) max_{d \in B(\overline{0}, s)} \int_{H_d} \frac{d\tau'}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} \\ &= \frac{3Ms^2}{4\pi} \frac{4\pi s^3}{3} max_{d \in B(\overline{0}, s)} \int_{\mathcal{R}^2} \frac{dxdy}{(x^2 + y^2 + r_d^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{12M\pi s^5}{12\pi} max_{d \in B(\overline{0}, s)} 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{RdR}{(R^2 + r_d^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= 2\pi M s^5 max_{d \in B(\overline{0}, s)} \left[-\frac{1}{(R^2 + r_d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]_{0}^{\infty} \\ &= 2\pi M s^5 max_{d \in B(\overline{0}, s)} \frac{1}{r_d} \end{split}$$

where r_d is the shortest distance between \overline{r} and H_d .

so that;

$$\begin{split} |\overline{E}_{0,1}(\overline{r})| &\leq \frac{2\pi M s^5}{\frac{|\overline{r}| - s}{2}} \\ &= \frac{4\pi M s^5}{|\overline{r}| - s} \\ &\leq 4\pi M s^5 \frac{2}{|\overline{r}|} \ (|\overline{r}| > 2s) \\ &= \frac{8\pi M s^5}{|\overline{r}|} \end{split}$$

so that $\overline{E}_{0,1}(\overline{r})$ is of very moderate decrease.

We have that;

$$\overline{E}_{0,2}(\overline{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V (C(\overline{r},\overline{r}'))(\overline{r}-\overline{r}')\hat{d}\tau'$$

where, by the wave equation for ρ ;

$$\begin{split} &C(\overline{r},\overline{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} |\overline{r}-\overline{r}'| \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial^2 t}|_0(\overline{y}) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + D\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) \\ & \bullet (\overline{y}-\overline{r}') dS(\overline{y}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} |\overline{r}-\overline{r}'| c^2 \bigtriangledown^2 \rho_0(\overline{y}) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0)(\overline{y}) \\ & \bullet (\overline{y}-\overline{r}') dS(\overline{y}) \end{split}$$

and;

$$|\overline{E}_{0,2}(\overline{r})| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V |D(\overline{r}, \overline{r}')| d\tau' + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} |\int_V E(\overline{r}, \overline{r}') (\overline{r} - \overline{r}') d\tau'| \ (AB)$$

where;

$$\begin{split} D(\overline{r},\overline{r}') &= \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} |\overline{r}-\overline{r}'| c^2 \, \nabla^2 \, \rho_0(\overline{y}) + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) dS(\overline{y}) \\ E(\overline{r},\overline{r}') &= \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0)(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y}-\overline{r}') dS(\overline{y}) \end{split}$$

We have that, using Lemma 0.4, that;

$$\begin{split} |D(\overline{r}, \overline{r}')| &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi c |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} (|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'| \frac{Cc^2}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|} + 4\pi M s^2) \\ &= \frac{Cc^2}{4\pi c |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} + \frac{4\pi M s^2}{4\pi c |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} \\ &= \frac{Cc}{4\pi |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} + \frac{M s^2}{c |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} \end{split}$$

so that, using (AB) and following the method for $\overline{E}_{0,1}(\overline{r})$ above, we have that;

$$\begin{split} |\overline{E}_{0,2}(\overline{r})| &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{Cc}{4\pi} + \frac{Ms^2}{c}\right) \int_V \frac{1}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} d\tau' + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} |\int_V |E(\overline{r}, \overline{r}')(\overline{r} - \overline{r}') d\tau'| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{Cc}{4\pi} + \frac{Ms^2}{c}\right) \frac{4\pi s^3}{3} \max_{d \in B(\overline{0}, s)} \frac{1}{r_d} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} |\int_V E(\overline{r}, \overline{r}')(\overline{r} - \overline{r}') d\tau'| \\ &\leq \frac{H}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} |\int_V E(\overline{r}, \overline{r}')(\overline{r} - \overline{r}') d\tau' \ (GH) \end{split}$$

for some constant $H \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. For the decay in the last term, we have that, using lemma 0.4 again;

$$\begin{split} |E(\overline{r},\overline{r}')| &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} |\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} |D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0)(\overline{y}) \cdot \overline{y}| dS(\overline{y}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} |\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} |D(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0)(\overline{y}) \cdot \overline{r}'| dS(\overline{y}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} sMarea(\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)) + \frac{1}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} \sqrt{3}|\overline{r}'| \frac{C}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|} \\ &\leq \frac{sM.4\pi s^2}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} + \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}'|}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} \\ &= \frac{Ms}{c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} + \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}'|}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} \end{split}$$

so that, from (GH), and using the method above;

$$\begin{split} &|\overline{E}_{0,2}(\overline{r})| \leq \frac{H}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V |E(\overline{r},\overline{r}')| d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{H}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V (\frac{Ms}{c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} + \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}'|}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4}) d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{H}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{4\pi s^3}{3} max_{d \in B(\overline{0},s)} \frac{1}{r_d} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}'-\overline{r}+\overline{r}|}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{K}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \frac{C\sqrt{3}}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} d\tau' + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}|}{4\pi c|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{L}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}|}{4\pi c} \int_V \frac{1}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{L}{|\overline{r}|} + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{C\sqrt{3}|\overline{r}|}{4\pi c} \int_V \frac{1}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{L}{|\overline{r}|} + max_{d \in B(\overline{0},s)} \frac{N|\overline{r}|}{r_d^2} \\ &\leq \frac{G}{|\overline{r}|} \end{split}$$

where $\{G, H, K, L, N\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, so that $\overline{E}_{0,2}(\overline{r})$ is of very moderate decrease.

A similar argument establishes that $\overline{E}_{0,3}(\overline{r})$ is of very moderate decrease, using repeated application of lemma 0.4, so that $\overline{E}_0(\overline{r})$ is of very moderate decrease. By differentiating under the integral sign and using the chain rule, we have that;

$$\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial t}|_{0} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \left[\frac{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(\overline{r}', t_{r})}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^{2}} (\overline{r} - \overline{r}') + \frac{\frac{\partial^{2} \rho}{\partial t^{2}}(\overline{r}', t_{r})}{c|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|} (\overline{r} - \overline{r}') + \frac{\nabla (\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t})(\overline{r}', t_{r})}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|} \right] d\tau'$$

We have that $\{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial^2 t}, \nabla(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t})\}$ satisfy the wave equation, with the initial conditions determined by the corresponding derivatives of the initial conditions $\{\rho_0, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0\}$, noting for example that the initial conditions of $\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial^2 t}$ are $\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial^2 t}|_0 = c^2 \nabla^2 (\rho)|_0$ and $\frac{\partial^3 \rho}{\partial^3 t}|_0 = c^2 \nabla^2 (\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}|_0)$ both of which have compact support. We can then use Kirchoff's formula, as above, and establish the very moderate decrease of $\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{r})$.

Again, differentiating under the integral sign and using the chain rule, we have that, for example;

$$\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial x}|_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V [-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\rho_t(\overline{r}',t_r)}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') (r_1-r_1') + \frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_r)}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} (1,0,0) - 3 \frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_r)}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') (r_1-r_1') + \frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_r)}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} (1,0,0) - 3 \frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_r)}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^4} (\overline{r}-\overline{r}') (r_1-r_1') + \frac{\rho(\overline{r}',t_r)}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^3} (r_1-r_$$

$$\begin{split} &(r_1 - r_1') - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\rho_{tt}(\overline{r}', t_r)}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^2} (\overline{r} - \overline{r}') (r_1 - r_1') + \frac{\rho_t(\overline{r}', t_r)}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^2} (1, 0, 0) - 2 \frac{\rho_t(\overline{r}', t_r)}{|\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|^3} (\overline{r} - \overline{r}') (\overline{r}') (\overline{r}', t_r) (\overline{r$$

We can then use the same reasoning as above, that $\{\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial^2 t}, \nabla(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t})\}$ satisfy the wave equation, and use Kirchoff's formula, noting that the decay in \overline{r}' , for fixed \overline{r} , is greater than in previous cases, to establish the very moderate decrease of $\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial x}|_0$, and similarly for $\{\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial y}|_0, \frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial z}|_0\}$. Finally, we use the vector version of Kirchoff's formula for \overline{E} , to obtain for t > 0;

$$\overline{E}(\overline{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r},ct)} ct \frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial t} |_0(\overline{y}) + \overline{E}_0(y) + D\overline{E}_0(\overline{y}) \cdot (\overline{y} - \overline{r}) dS(\overline{y})$$

and, for t < 0;

$$\overline{E}(\overline{r},t) = \tfrac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} \int_{\delta B(\overline{r},-ct)} -ct \tfrac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial t}|_0(\overline{y}) + \overline{E}_0(y) + D\overline{E}_0(\overline{y}) \, \text{.} \, (\overline{y}-\overline{r}) dS(\overline{y})$$

and we can see that, for t > 0, sufficiently large $|\overline{r}|$, depending on t;

$$|\overline{E}(\overline{r},t)| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t^2} 4\pi c^2 t^2 \left(ct \frac{C_2}{|\overline{r}|-ct} + \frac{C_1}{|\overline{r}|-ct} + ct \frac{9C_3}{|\overline{r}|-ct}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{D_t}{|\overline{r}|}$$

for some $\{C_1, C_2, C_3, D_t\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, where $\frac{\partial \overline{E}}{\partial t}|_0$ is of very moderate decrease C_2 , \overline{E}_0 is of very moderate decrease C_1 and $C_3 = \max_{1 \leq i,j,\leq 3} C_{ij}$, where $\frac{\partial e_i}{\partial x_j}$ is of very moderate decrease $C_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, so that $\overline{E}(\overline{r},t)$ is of very moderate decrease for t > 0. Similarly, $\overline{E}(\overline{r},t)$ is of very moderate decrease for t < 0. The proof for \overline{B} is similar, using the fact that $\nabla \times \overline{J} = \overline{0}$, so that $\Box^2(\overline{B}) = \overline{0}$, and using Jefimenko's formula for \overline{B} .

Lemma 0.3. Addendum to Uniqueness of Representation of Arcs Lemma

Given $\overline{a} \in B(\overline{0},s)$, with $\overline{a} \neq \overline{0}$, there exists, up to a set Bl of measure zero in $B(\overline{0},s)$, a unique $\overline{v} \in V = \bigcup_{d \in B(\overline{0},s)} H_d$, such that $B(\overline{v},|\overline{v}-\overline{r}|)$ passes through \overline{a} , with $B(\overline{0},|\overline{a}|)$ and $B(\overline{v},|\overline{v}-\overline{r}|)$ sharing a common tangent plane at \overline{a} . It follows that we can define a map $\gamma: B(\overline{0},s) \setminus Bl \to V \setminus H_{\overline{0}}$ which is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Proof. The proof is straightforward, given a generic $\overline{a} \neq \overline{0}$, the line $l_{0,\overline{a}}$ intersects the hyperplane $H_{\overline{a}}$ in a unique point \overline{v} , unless $l_{0,\overline{a}}$ and $H_{\overline{a}}$ are parallel, in which case $\overline{a} \cdot (\overline{r} - \overline{a}) = 0$. Letting $\overline{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$, this

SOME ARGUMENTS FOR THE WAVE EQUATION IN QUANTUM THEORY 109 locus is defined by:

$$a_1r_1 + a_2r_2 + a_3r_3 - (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2) = 0$$
iff $a_1^2 - a_1r_1 + a_2^2 - a_2r_2 + a_3^2 - a_3r_3 = 0$
iff $(a_1 - \frac{r_1}{2})^2 + (a_2 - \frac{r_2}{2})^2 + (a_3 - \frac{r_3}{2})^2 = \frac{r_1^2}{4} + \frac{r_2^2}{4} + \frac{r_3^2}{4}$

which is a sphere centred at $\frac{\overline{r}}{2}$, with radius $\frac{|\overline{r}|}{2}$. Clearly, the intersection of this sphere with $B(\overline{0}, s)$, Bl, is a set of measure zero in $B(\overline{0}, s)$.

For
$$\overline{y} \in B(\overline{0}, s)$$
, with $|\overline{y}| = w$, $0 < w \le s$, we have that, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$;

$$|\lambda \overline{y} - \overline{y}| = |\lambda \overline{y} - \overline{r}|$$

iff
$$w|\lambda - 1| = |\lambda \overline{y} - \overline{r}|$$

iff
$$w^2(\lambda - 1)^2 = (\lambda y_1 - r_1)^2 + (\lambda y_2 - r_2)^2 + (\lambda y_3 - r_3)^2$$

iff
$$\lambda^2 w^2 - 2\lambda w^2 + w^2 = \lambda^2 w^2 - 2\lambda \overline{y} \cdot \overline{r} + |\overline{r}|^2$$

iff
$$\lambda(-2w^2+2\overline{y}\cdot\overline{r})=|\overline{r}|^2-w^2$$

iff
$$\lambda = \frac{|\overline{r}|^2 - w^2}{-2w^2 + 2\overline{y}\cdot\overline{r}}$$

The exceptional locus $Bl \cap \delta B(\overline{0}, w)$ corresponds to the locus;

$$2\overline{y} \cdot \overline{r} - 2w^2 = 0$$

$$\text{iff } \overline{y} \centerdot \overline{r} = w^2$$

which is a plane intersecting the sphere $\delta B(\overline{0}, w)$ in a circle C_w . We define the map γ , for $\overline{y} \in B(\overline{0}, s) \setminus Bl$ by;

$$\gamma(\overline{y}) = \frac{|\overline{r}|^2 - |\overline{y}|^2}{-2|\overline{y}|^2 + 2\overline{y}.\overline{r}} \overline{y}$$

The fact that γ is bijective and onto $V \setminus H_0$ follows from the original uniqueness of representation of arcs lemma in [4], noting that we excluded the case that an arc passed through the origin $\overline{0} \in B(\overline{0}, s)$. The above argument allows us to define the map γ , which is continuous with a continuous inverse.

Lemma 0.4. First Corollary to Hyperplane Lemma

We have that there exists $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ such that for f smooth and having compact support on $B(\overline{0}, s)$;

$$|\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} \nabla (f)(\overline{y}) dS(\overline{y})| \leq \frac{C}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|}$$

for \overline{r} disjoint from $B(\overline{0}, 3s)$.

Proof. The result is obvious if the arc $\delta B(\overline{r}', |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|)$ is disjoint from $B(\overline{0}, s)$, so we can assume that there exists $d \in B(\overline{0}, s)$, with $d \in \delta B(\overline{r}', |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|)$, in particularly;

$$|d - \overline{r}'| = |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|$$

so that $\overline{r}' \in H_d$ and H_d is disjoint from $B(\overline{0}, s)$, otherwise we could find $d' \in B(\overline{0}, s)$ with $|d-d'| = |\overline{r}-d'|$, so that $|\overline{r}-d'| < 2s$ contradicting the hypothesis that \overline{r} is disjoint from $B(\overline{0}, 3s)$.

For $\overline{r}' \in H_d$, $d \in B(\overline{0}, s)$, we can use the representation of arcs lemma, see [4], to show that without loss of generality, $l_{\overline{r}',d}$ passes through the origin of $B(\overline{0}, s)$. We let T_d be the tangent plane to $\delta B(\overline{r}', |\overline{r} - \overline{r}'|)$ at d, so that by the hyperplane lemma in [4], (1), we have that;

$$\int_{T_d \cap B(\overline{0},s)} \nabla (f)(\overline{y}) d\mu = 0$$

where $d\mu$ is Lebesgue measure. Changing coordinates, let \overline{r}' have coordinates (0,0,R), where $R=|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|$, let d have coordinates (0,0,0), and let T_d correspond to the plane z=0. The hyperplane T_d intersects $\delta B(\overline{0},s)$ in a circle S of radius $w \leq s$, $S \subset z=0$ and we have that the original ball $B(\overline{0},s)$ shifts to $B(\overline{a},s)$, where $\overline{a}=(0,0,a)$ and $a\leq s$ with;

$$\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \nabla(f)(\overline{y}) d\mu = 0 \ (*)$$

Let $\delta B(\overline{r}', R)$ intersect $\delta B(\overline{a}, s)$ in the circle T of radius $w \leq w' \leq s$, and suppose that T is centred at (0, 0, b) with $b \leq a$. We have that;

¹There we assumed that the hyperplane passed through the origin of a ball B; we can always make this assumption by choosing the ball B to contain the original support V, setting f to be zero on $B \setminus V$ and centering B at a point on the hyperplane.

$$b = R - R\cos(\theta)$$

where $\theta \leq \phi$ and $tan(\phi) = \frac{s}{R-a}$, with $a \leq s$. For R sufficiently large, $R \geq 2a$;

$$tan(\phi) \le \frac{s}{R - \frac{R}{2}} = \frac{2s}{R}$$

and as tan^{-1} is increasing, cos is decreasing for small $\theta > 0$, using Newton's theorem;

$$\theta \le \phi \le tan^{-1}(\frac{2s}{R})$$

$$cos(\theta) \ge cos(tan^{-1}(\frac{2s}{R}))$$

$$b \le R - Rcos(tan^{-1}(\frac{2s}{R}))$$

$$= R - \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{2s}{R})^2}}$$

$$= R - R(1 - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2s}{R})^2 + O(\frac{1}{R^4}))$$

$$= \frac{2s^2}{R} + O(\frac{1}{R^3})$$

$$< \frac{E}{R} (**)$$

for some $E \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, R > 1. Let pr be the projection from \mathcal{R}^3 to z = 0 restricted to $\delta B(\overline{r}', R) \cap B(\overline{a}, s)$, then, using (*), (**);

$$|\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',R)\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \nabla(f)(\overline{y})dS(\overline{y})|$$

$$=|\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',R)\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \nabla(f)(\overline{y})dS(\overline{y}) - \int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \nabla(f)(\overline{x})d\mu(\overline{x})|$$

$$=|\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} pr^{-1,*} \nabla(f)(\overline{x})dpr^{-1,*}S(\overline{x}) - \int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \nabla(f)(\overline{x})d\mu(\overline{x})|$$

$$\leq |\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} (pr^{-1,*} \nabla(f)(\overline{x}) - \nabla(f)(\overline{x}))d\mu(\overline{x})|$$

$$+|\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} pr^{-1,*} \nabla(f)(\overline{x})d(pr^{-1,*}S(\overline{x})) - d\mu(\overline{x})| (HH)$$
For $\{\overline{x},y\} \subset B(0,s)\}$, we have, by the MVT, that;
$$\nabla(f)(\overline{x} - \nabla(\overline{y})| \leq (|D(\nabla(f))_1| + |D(\nabla(f))_2| + |D(\nabla(f))_3|)|\overline{x} = \overline{y}|$$

$$\leq 3max_{\overline{b}\in B(\overline{0},s)}||D(\nabla(f))(\overline{b})|||\overline{x}-\overline{y}|$$
$$=3M|\overline{x}-\overline{y}|$$

as $\nabla(f)$ is smooth with compact support, so that, using (**), for $\overline{x} \in z = 0 \cap B(\overline{a}, s)$, we have that;

$$|pr^{-1,*} \nabla (f)(\overline{x}) - \nabla (f)(\overline{x})| \leq \frac{3ME}{R}$$

and;

$$|\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} (pr^{-1,*} \nabla (f)(\overline{x}) - \nabla (f)(\overline{x})) d\mu(\overline{x})|$$

$$\leq \frac{3ME\pi s^2}{R}$$

To compute the change of measure, we use the parametrisation $pr^{-1}: z = 0 \to \delta B(\overline{r}, R);$

$$pr^{-1}(x,y) = (x, y, R - (R^2 - x^2 - y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

so that, using Newton's theorem;

$$\begin{split} pr_x^{-1} &= \left(1,0,\frac{x}{(R^2-x^2-y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\ pr_y^{-1} &= \left(0,1,\frac{y}{(R^2-x^2-y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\ &|d(pr^{-1,*}S(\overline{x})) - d\mu(\overline{x})| = |(\frac{1}{|pr_x^{-1} \times pr_y^{-1}|} - 1)|dxdy \\ &= |\frac{1}{|(-\frac{x}{(R^2-x^2-y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, -\frac{y}{(R^2-x^2-y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, 1)|} - 1|dxdy \\ &= |\frac{1}{(1+\frac{x^2+y^2}{R^2-x^2-y^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 1|dxdy \\ &= |1 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2+y^2}{R^2-x^2-y^2} + O(\frac{1}{R^4}) - 1|dxdy \\ &= [\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2+y^2}{R^2-x^2-y^2} + O(\frac{1}{R^4})]dxdy \\ &\leq [\frac{s^2}{R^2-2s^s} + O(\frac{1}{R^4})]dxdy \\ &\leq \frac{F}{R^2}dxdy \end{split}$$

for some $F \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, so that;

$$\begin{split} & |\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} pr^{-1,*} \bigtriangledown (f)(\overline{x}) d(pr^{-1,*}S(\overline{x})) - d\mu(\overline{x})| \\ & \leq \frac{\pi s^2 F}{R^2} max_{\overline{b} \in B(\overline{0},s)} |\bigtriangledown (f)(\overline{b})| \\ & = \frac{H\pi s^2 F}{R^2} \\ & \text{and from } (HH); \\ & |\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',R)\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \bigtriangledown (f)(\overline{y}) dS(\overline{y})| \leq \frac{3ME\pi s^2}{R} + \frac{H\pi s^2 F}{R^2} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{R} \end{split}$$

Lemma 0.5. Second Corollary to Hyperplane Lemma

We have that there exists $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ such that for f smooth and having compact support on $B(\overline{0}, s)$;

$$\begin{aligned} &||\int_{\delta B(\overline{r}',|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|)\cap B(\overline{0},s)} D(\nabla(f))(\overline{y})dS(\overline{y})|| \leq \frac{C}{|\overline{r}-\overline{r}'|^2} \\ &for \ \overline{r} \ disjoint \ from \ B(\overline{0},3s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the notation in Lemma 0.4. We let;

$$h(x,y) = \sqrt{R^2 + x^2 + y^2} - R = O(\frac{1}{R})$$

be defined on T_d correspond to the plane z = 0. Using similar triangles, $b(x, y) = h(x, y)cos(\theta)$, where θ is the angle subtended by a line drawn from \overline{r}' to the point (x, y) in the plane z = 0. We have that;

$$b(x,y) - h(x,y) = h(x,y)(\cos(\theta) - 1)$$

$$= h(x,y)(1 + O(\theta^2) - 1)$$

$$= h(x,y)(O(\frac{1}{R^2}))$$

$$= O(\frac{1}{R^3})$$

using the fact, proved above, that $\theta = O(\frac{1}{R})$. By Taylor's theorem, we have that, for $(x, y) \in T_d$;

$$pr^{-1,*}(D(\nabla(f)))(x,y) = D(\nabla(f))(x,y,b(x,y))$$

$$= D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + b(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + O(b(x,y)^{2})$$

$$= D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + b(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + O(\frac{1}{R^{2}})$$
so that;
$$pr^{-1,*}(D(\nabla(f)))(x,y) = D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + h(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0)$$

$$+(b(x,y) - h(x,y))D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + O(\frac{1}{R^{2}})$$

$$= D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + h(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + O(\frac{1}{R^{3}}) + O(\frac{1}{R^{2}})$$

$$= D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + h(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) + O(\frac{1}{R^{2}}) \quad (AA)$$

We can now vary R as the coordinate z, and extend h(x,y) to a function $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} - z$ on $B(\overline{0}, s)$. We can then use the original hyperplane lemma to justify integration by parts on the plane T_d , so that;

$$\int_{z=0 \cap B(\overline{a},s)} D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) d\mu(x,y) = \overline{0} \ (BB)$$

where $\overline{0}$ is the three by three zero matrix, and;

$$\begin{split} &\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} h(x,y,0) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0) d\mu(x,y) \\ &= \int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D(\nabla(h))(x,y,0) f(x,y,0) d\mu(x,y) \ (CC) \end{split}$$

We have that;

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - 1 = O(1) \\ \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z^2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - \frac{z^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = O(\frac{1}{z}) \\ \frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial z^3} &= \frac{-z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{2z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3z^3}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} = O(\frac{1}{z^2}) \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z \partial x} = \frac{-zx}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z \partial y} = \frac{-zy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial z \partial^2 x} = \frac{-z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3zx^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial z \partial^2 y} = \frac{-z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3zy^2}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 h}{\partial z \partial x \partial y} = \frac{3zxy}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^4}\right)$$
so that, fixing $z = R$;
$$\left|\left|\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} h(x,y,0)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}D(\nabla(f))(x,y,0)d\mu(x,y)\right|\right| \leq \frac{E}{R^2}$$
and by $(AA), (BB), (CC)$;

$$\left|\left|\int_{z=0\cap B(\overline{a},s)} pr^{-1,*}(D(\nabla(f)))(x,y)d\mu(x,y)\right|\right| \le \frac{F}{R^2}$$

for some $\{E, F\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$.

The change of measure argument is the same as in Lemma 0.4, which adds a correction of $O(\frac{1}{R^2})$, so that we obtain the result.

Lemma 0.6. Let the fields $\{\overline{E}, \overline{B}\}$ be as in Lemma 0.2, then $\overline{E}_0(\overline{r})$ and $\overline{B}_0(\overline{r})$ are quasi split normal in the sense of [2].

Proof.Using the method of opposites.

References

- [1] A Fourier Inversion Theorem for Normal Functions, Tristram de Piro, available at http://www.curvalinea.net (77) or Accademia, (2024).
- [2] Functions Analytic at Infinity and Normality, Tristram de Piro, available at http://www.curvalinea.net (77) or Accademia, (2024).
- [3] Some Arguments for the Wave Equation in Quantum Theory, Tristram de Piro, Open Journal of Mathematical Sciences, also available at http://www.curvaline.net (58) or Accademia, (2021).

[4] Some Arguments for the Wave Equation in Quantum Theory 7: The Hyperbolic Method, Tristram de Piro, available at http://www.curvaline.net (70) or Accademia, (2022).

FLAT 3, REDESDALE HOUSE, 85 THE PARK, CHELTENHAM, GL50 2RP $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ t.depiro@curvalinea.net